UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/577,864	04/28/2006	Hiroyuki Umeda	06180/LH 2234		
	7590	EXAMINER			
220 Fifth Avenue 16TH Floor NEW YORK, NY 10001-7708			ROSENBAUM, MARK		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3725		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			09/10/2008	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Communication		Application N	o.	Applicant(s)				
		10/577,864		UMEDA ET AL.				
	Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit				
		Mark Rosenba	um	3725				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication a or Reply	ppears on the cov	er sheet with the c	orrespondence ad	ddress			
WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING asions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. O period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perior te to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by stati- reply received by the Office later than three months after the mai- ared patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS (1.136(a). In no event, ho od will apply and will expi ute, cause the application	COMMUNICATION wever, may a reply be tin re SIX (6) MONTHS from n to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this of (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status								
1) 又	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>17</u>	June 2008						
-		nis action is non-fi	nal					
3)	, _							
٠,١	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposit	on of Claims							
4)🛛	Claim(s) 1-9 is/are pending in the application	٦.						
·	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
	Claim(s) 6-9 is/are allowed.							
	☑ Claim(s) <u>0.5</u> is/are rejected.							
	Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
-	B) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.							
Applicat	on Papers							
9)□	The specification is objected to by the Exami	ner.						
•			bjected to by the I	Examiner.				
, _	10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).								
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.								
Priority เ	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119							
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 								
2) Notice (3) Inform	t(s) te of References Cited (PTO-892) te of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date	4) [5) [6) [Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da Notice of Informal P Other:	nte				

DETAILED ACTION

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 6-9 are allowed.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1,2 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Koyanagi et al in view of the Japanese '547 publication. Koyanagi et al discloses the basic apparatus without a control of the feed means which may provide for uneven crushing. The Japanese publication solves this problem by disclosing similar apparatus including the control of the feeder based on a load judging means. In order to properly feed material, it would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art to modify Koyanagi et al by providing a feed controller based on load judgment, taught to be desirable by the Japanese publication.

Claims 3,4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Koyanagi et al in view of the Japanese '547 publication as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of the Japanese '407 publication. The basic patent uses a single motor which is limiting in various ways. The Japanese '407 publication solves this problem by disclosing similar apparatus including the use of two motors. In order to render the apparatus more versatile, it would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art

to modify the basic apparatus by providing two motors, taught to be desirable by the Japanese '407 publication.

Claim 5 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Koyanagi et al in view of the Japanese '547 publication as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of the Japanese '701 publication. The basic combination does not provide for a motor that changes capacity by self pressure which results in inherent inefficiency. The Japanese '701 publication solves this problem by disclosing similar apparatus including the use of a motor that changes capacity by self pressure. In order to provide for apparatus efficiency, it would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art to modify the basic apparatus by using a motor that changes through self pressure, taught to be desirable by the Japanese '701 publication.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 6/17/08 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. The amendments to the claims appear to be functional statements only i.e. the apparatus of the various prior art combinations can perform these functions if so desired. If this is incorrect, applicant should specifically point out the apparatus that cannot perform the desired function.

Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within

TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Mark Rosenbaum whose telephone number is 571-272-4523. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Derris Banks can be reached on 571-272-4419. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Application/Control Number: 10/577,864 Page 5

Art Unit: 3725

Primary Examiner Art Unit 3725

/Mark Rosenbaum/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3725